

1 KEVIN FLAUTT, Bar No. 257892  
kevin.flautt@bbklaw.com  
2 OLIVIA CLARK, Bar No. 316689  
olivia.clark@bbklaw.com  
3 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2500  
4 Sacramento, California 95814  
Telephone: (916) 325-4000  
5 Facsimile: (916) 325-4010

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
CITY OF ATWATER, CITY OF ATWATER  
7 BUILDING DEPARTMENT, GREG THOMPSON,  
MARK PEREIDA, STEPHANIE RUIZ, MICHAEL  
8 NELSON, and JACQYUN “JACKI” LOPEZ

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11

12 BRADLEY S. KESSLER, an individual; BK-  
INDUSTRIES, Inc., a California Corporation;  
13 AG-K PROPERTIES, LLC,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 CITY OF ATWATER, a Municipal  
Corporation; CITY OF ATWATER  
BUILDING DEPARTMENT; GREG  
THOMPSON, an individual; MARK  
17 PEREIDA, an individual; STEPHANIE RUIZ,  
an individual; MICHAEL NELSON, an  
18 individual; JACQUYN “JACKI” LOPEZ, an  
individual; MICHAEL HAYES, an individual;  
19 VH DESIGN GROUP, INC., doing business as  
VVH CONSULTING ENGINEERS;  
20 RHYOLITE DEVELOPMENT &  
CONSTRUCTION, INC., a California  
21 Corporation and DOES 1-50,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00288-JLT-SKO

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION  
TO INCREASE BRIEFING PAGE  
LIMIT AND EXTEND TIME FOR  
PARTIES TO RESPOND AND REPLY  
TO MOTIONS**

(Doc. 20)

23 The Court is in receipt of the parties’ “Stipulation to Increase Briefing Page Limit and  
24 Extend Time for Parties to Respond and Reply to Motions.” (Doc. 20). In light of the parties’  
25 stipulation, and for good cause shown, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 26 1. Plaintiffs Bradley S. Kessler, BK-Industries, Inc., and AG-K Properties, LLC  
27 (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) are permitted **FIVE (5)** additional pages for any  
28 opposing brief to Defendants City of Atwater, City of Atwater Building

1 Department, Greg Thompson, Mark Pereida, Stephanie Ruiz, Michael Nelson,  
2 Jacquyn “Jacki” Lopez, Michael Hayes, and VH Design Group, Inc. dba VVH  
3 Consulting Engineers (collectively, "Defendants") motions to dismiss and motion  
4 to strike (collectively, “Motions”). Each opposing brief to the Motions filed by  
5 Plaintiffs shall not exceed thirty (30) pages.

6 2. Defendants are each permitted **FIVE (5)** additional pages for each reply brief to the  
7 Motions. Each reply brief to the Motions filed by Defendants shall not exceed  
8 fifteen (15) pages.

9 3. The time for Plaintiffs to respond to the Motions is **EXTENDED** up to and  
10 including April 24, 2025.

11 4. The time for Defendants to reply to the Motions is **EXTENDED** up to and  
12 including May 27, 2025.

13 5. The hearing currently scheduled for April 24, 2025 is **CONTINUED** to June 3,  
14 2025 at 09:00 AM in Courtroom 4 (JLT) before District Judge Jennifer L. Thurston.

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: **March 31, 2025**

*/s/ Sheila K. Oberto*  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE